Risk ID	Risk Description	Risk Consequence	Impact	Prob	Risk rating	Move in Score	Owner	Strategy	Risk Control Measures
SPF01	Asset & Investment Failure of investment markets in generating investment returns as set out in the Funding Strategy	Could have a negative effect on the Pension Valuation leading to an increase in contribution rates for employers. Employers unable to plan and budget in the medium term.	Major (3)	Possible (2)	Medium (6)	*	Pension Fund Committee	Treat	Regular reporting of the Funding position Regular reporting and monitoring arrangements for investment performance. Diversification of asset classes minimises the impact of a single asset class underperforming. Review of assets against the strategic benchmark with rebalancing carried out as necessary. The Funding Strategy Statement incorporates a long term time horizon when setting contribution rates and where applicable can implement a stabilisation approach.

SPF02	Asset & Investment								Pogular mootings are hold with
3PFU2	Failure in	Could have a	Moderate	Probable	Medium	4	Donsion	Troot	Regular meetings are held with
							Pension	Treat	investment managers to discuss
	investment	negative effect on	(2)	(3)	(6)		Fund		investment performance. The
	performance by an	the Pension					Committee		independent adviser reports on
	individual	Valuation leading to							these meetings with additional
	investment	an increase in							comments and his opinion on
	manager leading to	contribution rates							the investments.
	a shortfall in	for employers.							
	investment return								Hymans Robertson provides a
									quarterly investment monitoring
									report which provides an update
									of any significant changes to the
									investment mandates and
									managers and responsible
									investment ratings.
									Regular reporting and
									monitoring arrangements for
									investment performance for
									each manager against
									benchmark.
									Diversification of asset classes
									and investment manager
									structure minimises the impact
									of a single manager
									underperforming.
									Northern Trust presents on the
									performance data on an annual
									basis providing an independent
									view.
	1		i				I	i	

SPF03	Asset & Investment								
	Negligence, fraud or	Could have a	Moderate	Unlikely	Low (2)	\iff	Pension	Treat	Legal requirements on Fund
	default by	negative effect on	(2)	(1)			Fund		Manager set out in investment
	individual	the Pension					Committee		management agreement.
	investment	Valuation leading to							
	manager leading to	an increase in							Investment Managers are FCA
	a loss of investment	contribution rates							regulated.
		for employers.							Review of internal control
									reports.
									reports.
									Reconciliation of custodian data
									against investment manager
									reported positions.
									Professional advice on stability
									of investment organisations.
SPF04	Asset & Investment					4			Regular contract reviews of the
	Failure of custodian	Decisions made	Moderate	Unlikely	Low (2)		Head of	Treat	custodians' performance against
	leading to	based on	(2)	(1)			Pensions		agreed SLA's.
	incomplete or incorrect	misreported information.							Review of internal control
	information leading	iniormation.							
	to misreporting of	Reputational loss							reports.
	financial position.	with incorrect							Reconciliation of custodian data
	Timanolar posicioni	information							against investment manager
		published.							reported positions.
SPF05	Asset & Investment								Valuation modelling of the Fund
	The Investment	Fund cannot meet	Minor (1)	Unlikely	Low (1)	$\qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad$	Pension	Treat	identifying the cashflow over the
	Strategy does not	its immediate		(1)			Fund		medium term.
	allocate sufficient	liabilities because it					Committee		
	liquid assets to	has insufficient							The cash flow is monitored and
	meet liabilities	liquid assets leading							reconciled on a daily basis with a

		to additional costs associated with borrowing to meet the cash flow requirements.							review of cash flow trends to anticipate trends. Regular reporting of the long term basis cash flow to Pension Fund Committee.
SPF06	Asset & Investment Failure by the Investment Managers to manage the risk Climate Change may have on the assets of the Fund.	Could lead to the potential risk of stranded assets, leading to financial loss if an asset loses significant value and becomes worthless. Increased capital costs of underlying investment companies to transition to greener energy or lower carbon solutions. Risk of natural disasters through adverse weather conditions causing damage to underlying investments.	Major (3)	Probable (3)	High (9)	1	Pension Fund Committee	Treat	Regular meetings with investment managers to discuss investment performance, investment strategy, stock holdings and their path to net zero as set out in the Investment Managers Engagement Strategy. The Pension Fund officers review the investment holdings on a quarterly basis to categorise what is being held. Diversification of asset classes and investment manager structure minimises the impact of a single stock underperforming. Responsible Investment beliefs are included in the Investment Strategy and Investment Managers are required to demonstrate how they meet the Fund's investment beliefs.

									Risk Update On 15 February the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) announced that they would only be accepting renewal applications for the October 2023 deadline which means that the Suffolk Pension Fund will have to defer submission to April 2024. A draft submission will be brought to the November 2024 Committee meeting.
SPF 07	Asset & Investment ACCESS investments do not meet the requirements of the Fund	The Fund is unable to implement its Investment Strategy through pooling. Reputational damage to the Council with adverse publicity.	Moderate (2)	Unlikely (1)	Low (2)	*	Pension Fund Committee	Treat	Strong engagement with the activities within the Pool on a Pension Fund officer, S151 officer and Chairman levels. Pension Fund officers are involved with the planning and set up of asset investment offerings to ensure that the needs of the Fund are met. Engagement with investment consultants to evaluate the investment sub-funds.

SPF 08	Asset & Investment Global events have		Moderate	Possible	Medium	Pension	Treat	Risk Update All liquid assets have been pooled within ACCESS. CBRE have been appointed as the property investment manager for the ACCESS Pool for both UK and Global. Work is currently being undertaken to identify the most cost efficient transition process for the Suffolk Pension Fund. Diversification of asset classes,
	an adverse impact on the Pension Fund's investment and cashflow.	Fund cannot meet its immediate liabilities because it is unable to access liquid assets leading to additional costs associated with borrowing to meet the cash flow requirements. Could lead to financial loss if an asset loses significant value and becomes worthless.	(2)	(2)	(4)	Fund Committee		geographical regions and investment manager structure minimises the impact of a single country stock underperforming due to for example imposed financial sanctions. The cash flow is monitored and reconciled on a daily basis with a review of cash flow trends to anticipate trends.

SPF 9	Regulatory &								
	Compliance		Moderate	Unlikely	Low (2)	\Leftrightarrow	Pension	Treat	The Pension Fund responds to all
	Changes to		(2)	(1)			Fund		consultation papers regarding
	regulations or						Committee		changes to the LGPS issued by
	legislation not being	Could result in an							Minister of Housing,
	adhered to	increase in the cost					Head of		Communities and Local
		of the scheme or					Pensions		Government (MHCLG).
		increased							
		administration and							Pension Fund Officers contribute
		consultancy cost to							to discussions with MHCLG
		correct							through professional bodies the
									Fund connected with such as
		Could create							CIPFA, PLSA.
		additional liabilities							
		and administration							Pension Fund Officers attend
		difficulties for							conferences, seminars, webinars
		employers.							to ensure the consequences of
									legislative changes are
		The Pensions							understood and implemented.
		Regulator can fine							
		the Fund for breach							Pension Fund Committee are
		of regulations.							informed of upcoming changes
									and how they will be
		Reputational							implemented.
		damage to the							
		Council and the							Regular system updates by
		Fund with adverse							Heywood's to incorporate the
		publicity.							change to benefit regulations.

SPF 10	Regulatory &							
311 10	Compliance		Major (3)	Possible	Medium	Pension	Treat	Strong engagement with the
	Failure to comply					Fund	ITEAL	activities within the Pool on a
	with Government			(2)	(6)	Committee		
						Committee		Pension Fund officer, S151
	expectations on							officer and Chairman levels.
	asset pooling							
		The Government						Pension Fund Committee are
		has introduced back						appraised on the ACCESS Pool
		stop legislation to						developments on a regular basis
		ensure compliance.						and how these affect the
		chare compliance.						Pension Fund.
		The Secretary of						
		State takes over the						Risk Update
		investment						
		functions of the						The new Government is
		Fund and directs its						continuing with the Pooling
								agenda. Their plans will become
		investment strategy						clearer over time.
		to invest in specific						
		assets.						On 4 September 2024
								Government published a call for
		Reputational						evidence focusing on defined
		damage to the						contribution schemes and the
		Council with						LGPS.
		adverse publicity.						
								The review will form the next
		Loss of trust from						steps with regards to
		members of the						consolidation and investment in
		Fund.						the UK.
								Responses have to be submitted
								by 25 September 2024.
								by 25 September 2024.
		1		1		1]	

SPF 11	Regulatory & Compliance Failure of the Pension Fund to be able to undertake the work required to remedy the McCloud High Court ruling.	Could result in an increase in the cost of the scheme or increased administration and consultancy cost to correct Reputational damage to the Council with adverse publicity. Loss of trust from members of the Fund.	Moderate (2)	Unlikely (1)	Low (2)	*	Head of Pensions	Treat	The Pension Fund officers have attended webinars held by professional bodies to understand the potential requirements. Employers have been engaged and are aware that there will be a requirement for them to produce some of the data which will be needed to undertake the work Risk Update Regulations came into force on 1 October 2023. Updates applied to administration system to
									Updates applied to
									Identification of records that need reviewing has taken place. Employer end of year data was
									required so that all records are up to date in order to use a bulk

									function for calculations. This will now be tested fully. The Team will then be in a position to commence review of records in agreed order. There is no timescale to complete work and no issues are expected in terms of doing so.
SPF 12	Regulatory & Compliance Failure of the Pension Fund to meet the reporting of climate change risks to come into force April 2024 for reporting by December 2025.	The Pension Fund cannot demonstrate how climate change risk and opportunities are integrated into the Fund's investments and decision making process. Reputational damage to the Council and the Fund with adverse publicity.	Major (3)	Unlikely (1)	Low (3)	*	Pension Fund Committee Head of Pensions	Treat	The Pension Fund officers will engage with appropriate professional bodies and attend sessions to fully understand the requirement of the reporting obligations. The Pension Fund will engage with the investment managers on how they can provide the appropriate reporting metrics to be included in the Climate Change Risk report. The Pension Fund officers will develop and implement processes in a timely manner to collate the information in advance of the reporting deadlines.

									The original implementation date was April 2023 but the new regulations did not come into force. The risk has been updated to reflect these dates.
SPF 13	Regulatory & Compliance Failure of the Pension Fund to meet the requirements of the The Pensions Regulator (TPR) Single Code of Practice	The Fund cannot demonstrate that it meets TPR's expectations of the conduct and practice that governing bodies should meet to comply with their duties in pensions legislation. TPR could take court action against Fund's who do not publish their compliance	Major (3)	Unlikely (1)	Low (3)	*	Head of Pensions	Treat	The Pension Fund officers have commissioned an interactive tool to enable them to review the requirements and collate how they are being met or not met and any improvements required. Initial work has commenced and an update will be presented to the Committee at its meeting in November 2024.
SPF 14	Regulatory &	position.							
	Compliance Failure of the Pension Fund to complete the Annual Report &	External audit will put the audit to the back of their timetable if the information is not	Major (3)	Unlikely (1)	Low (3)	\leftrightarrow	Head of Pensions	Treat	The Pension Fund Accounts were available on 20 May 2024, as agreed with EY.

	T							T
•								The Pension Fund Annual Report
deadlines set.	time scales agreed.							and Accounts will be presented
								to the Committee for approval
	If the Pension Fund							at it meeting in September 2024.
	accounts are not							
	audited on time this							A draft will be published on the
	could have a knock							website after the meeting and
	on effect to the							sent to the Scheme Advisory
	signing off of the							Board.
								The Annual Report and Accounts
								will be updated when the Suffolk
	Reputational							County Council accounts are
	•							signed off, after backstop
	•							legislation has been passed in
								December 2024 and the
								previous years and 2023/24
	publicity.							accounts can be approved by
								Audit Committee.
Funding 0								Addit Committee.
•	Carried in an area than	NA=:== (2)	Dansible	N. A. a. aliin yaa	4	Damaian	T	A daliki a na la consula in a na maninai a na d
		iviajor (3)					rreat	Additional work is commissioned
	•		(2)	(6)				to validate the assumptions used
•						Committee		in the valuation.
	· ·							Mortality assumptions are set
-								with an allowance for future
0,								increases in life expectancy
,	affordability							utilising data from club vita.
from the actual								
experience.	Could lead to an							The estimated Funding level is
·	increase in							reported regularly to the
Fall in risk free	investment risk with							Pension Fund Committee.
returns on	a change to							
_	experience. Fall in risk free	time scales agreed. If the Pension Fund accounts are not audited on time this could have a knock on effect to the signing off of the Suffolk County Councils Accounts. Reputational damage to the Council and the Fund with adverse publicity. Funding & Liabilities The actuarial assumptions used in the triennial valuation and set out in the Funding Strategy are significantly adrift from the actual experience. Could lead to an increase in investment risk with	deadlines set. If the Pension Fund accounts are not audited on time this could have a knock on effect to the signing off of the Suffolk County Councils Accounts. Reputational damage to the Council and the Fund with adverse publicity. Funding & Liabilities The actuarial assumptions used in the triennial valuation and set out in the Funding Strategy are significantly adrift from the actual experience. Limit the Pension Fund accounts are not audited on time this could have a knock on effect to the signing off of the Suffolk County Councils Accounts. Reputational damage to the Council and the Fund with adverse publicity. Major (3) Major (3) Employer contribution rates which reduces affordability Could lead to an increase in increase in investment risk with	deadlines set. If the Pension Fund accounts are not audited on time this could have a knock on effect to the signing off of the Suffolk County Councils Accounts. Reputational damage to the Council and the Fund with adverse publicity. Funding & Liabilities The actuarial assumptions used in the triennial valuation and set out in the Funding Strategy are significantly adrift from the actual experience. Could increase the liability strain on the valuation leading to an increase in Employer contribution rates which reduces affordability Could lead to an increase in investment risk with	deadlines set. If the Pension Fund accounts are not audited on time this could have a knock on effect to the signing off of the Suffolk County Councils Accounts. Reputational damage to the Council and the Fund with adverse publicity. Funding & Liabilities The actuarial assumptions used in the triennial valuation and set out in the Funding Strategy are significantly adrift from the actual experience. Could lead to an increase in investment risk with	deadlines set. If the Pension Fund accounts are not audited on time this could have a knock on effect to the signing off of the Suffolk County Councils Accounts. Reputational damage to the Council and the Fund with adverse publicity. Funding & Liabilities The actuarial assumptions used in the triennial valuation and set out in the Funding Strategy are significantly adrift from the actual experience. Could lead to an increase in Fall in risk free time scales agreed. If the Pension Fund accounts are not audited on time this could have a knock on effect to the signing end to the Suffolk County Councils Accounts. Major (3) Possible (2) Medium (6) Form the actual experience. Could lead to an increase in investment risk with	deadlines set. If the Pension Fund accounts are not audited on time this could have a knock on effect to the signing off of the Suffolk County Councils Accounts. Reputational damage to the Council and the Fund with adverse publicity. Funding & Liabilities The actuarial assumptions used in the triennial valuation and set out in the Funding Strategy are significantly adrift from the actual experience. Could lead to an increase in investment risk with	deadlines set. If the Pension Fund accounts are not audited on time this could have a knock on effect to the signing off of the Suffolk County Councils Accounts. Reputational damage to the Council and the Fund with adverse publicity. Funding & Liabilities The actuarial assumptions used in the triennial valuation and set out in the Funding Strategy are significantly adrift from the actual experience. Fall in risk free time scales agreed. If the Pension Fund accounts are not audited on time this could have a knock on effect to the signing off of the Suffolk County Councils Accounts. Reputational damage to the Council and the Fund with adverse publicity. Possible (2) Medium (6) Fund Committee Formal Possible (2) Could increase the liability strain on the valuation leading to an increase in contribution rates which reduces affordability Could lead to an increase in investment risk with

	Government bonds leading to an increase in liabilities	investment strategy to riskier assets to offset shortfall							Toleration of risk in the expectation of higher returns from riskier asset classes such as equities, property and alternatives and inflation linked assets helps to mitigate pay and price inflation. The 2025 valuation exercise will commence during the 2024/25 financial year. An initial paper outlining the exercise will be presented at the September 2024 Committee paper. Hymans will be attending the Annual training day in October 2024, training on setting the financial assumptions. The Committee will be required to set the financial assumptions
									The Committee will be required to set the financial assumptions at its meeting in February 2025.
SPF 16	Funding & Liabilities Failure of the investment strategy to produce the	Could lead to an increase in employers' contribution rate	Moderate (2)	Low (1)	Low (2)	\leftrightarrow	Pension Fund Committee	Treat	The investment Strategy is fully reviewed at least every 3 years by the Pension fund Committee in line with the results of the

long-term returns	which reduces		triennial valuation. This was last
assumed to be in	affordability		reviewed in July 2020.
the Funding	,		, , , , , ,
Strategy	Could lead to an		A high-level review is
	increase in		undertaken annually to assess
	investment risk with		whether the investment strateg
	a change to		is likely to meet the returns
	investment strategy		required.
	to riskier assets to		
	offset shortfall.		The estimated Funding level and
			performance of the investment
			are reported regularly to the
			Pension Fund Committee.
			The forecast funding position a
			at 30 June 2024 is 154%.
			Liabilities are forecast to be £2
			bn, a reduction of £0.7 bn since
			the March 2022 valuation due t
			the increase in discount rate,
			meaning that less money is
			required now as it is expected
			that investment returns will be
			higher in the future.
			The required return assumptio
			for the funding level to be 1009
			is 3.2% p.a. with a 95%
			likelihood of the assets achievir
			this return.

SPF 17	Employer								
	Employers' failure	The Pension Fund is	Moderate	Possible	Medium	\Leftrightarrow	Head of	Treat	The Administration Strategy sets
	to carry out their	unaware of	(2)	(2)	(4)		Pensions		out the employers'
	responsibilities for	structural changes							responsibilities and is reviewed
	providing scheme	in an employer's							at least every three years. It was
	administration data.	membership (e.g.							last approved by the Pension
		large fall in							Fund Committee at its meeting
		employee members,							on 24 November 2021.
		large number of							
		retirements, fund is							Employers are made aware of
		closed to new							any changes to their
		entrants).							requirements or amendments to
									the strategy.
		Not having correct							
		membership data							The document is available on
		could result in scheme benefits							the Pension fund website.
									Employers are required to fill
		being incorrectly calculated.							out an annual return by 21 April
		calculated.							each year. Each year the
		Could lead to							Employers are reminded of the
		incorrect							requirement. Non-compliance is
		information being							addressed.
		used to make							
		decisions in regards							Internal audit undertake
		to the employer and							assurance on the processes and
		the Pension Fund as							systems on an annual basis.
		a whole.							
		Additional time and							
		cost with the							
		Pension							
		administration team							

		to correct or follow up for information. Fined by the Pension Regulator or Information Commissioner. Members may make decisions based on incorrect or incomplete information.							
SPF 18	Employer Failure of the Employer to produce the data required to calculate the impact of the McCloud High Court ruling	The Pension Fund will be unable to calculate the impact of the ruling on the Employer/ Fund. Could lead to a member not getting the correct benefit that they are entitled to.	Moderate (2)	Possible (2)	Medium (4)	*	Head of Pensions	Treat	Employers have been made aware that data will be required from them. Update on the progress and requirements are provided in the Pension Matters newsletter as developments are published. Regulations came into force on 1 October 2023. Identification of records that need reviewing has taken place. Employer end of year data was required so that all records are up to date in order to use a bulk

									function for calculations. This will now be tested fully. As the range of members affected has changed from original communications however, there may be some issues getting data from employers. However, there is guidance available for calculating these cases where employers no longer have the data to mitigate this risk.
SPF 19	Employer Increase in early retirements due to redundancy and ill health.	Could increase the liability strain for the employer making the scheme unaffordable.	Moderate (2)	Possible (2)	Medium (4)	*	Head of Pensions	Treat	Employers are charged the capital cost of early retirements through redundancy. Flexibility on payment terms can be offered on a discretionary basis. Ill Health retirements are monitored, any cost in excess of the allowance in the contribution rate is charged to the employer. Smaller employers who have a payroll of less than £1m, are mandated to take out an

									insurance policy to cover the costs of ill health early retirements. The insurance is available to all other employers.
SPF 20	Employer Pension Fund fails to identify departing Employer's losing the opportunity to manage an orderly exit and recover any deficit if it exists.	Financial burden would have to be picked up the rest of the employers in the Fund.	Minor (1)	Unlikely (1)	Low (1)	*	Head of Pensions	Treat	The Admissions agreement signed by each employer requires employers to inform the Pension Fund of forthcoming changes to its membership position. The Pension Fund officers engage with employers who have a falling active membership to explain the options available when the last active employer ceases contributing. Vetting of employers in regards to financial security of funding streams. Seeking a funding guarantee or indemnity from the scheme employer. Review to ensure Bonds are

									reflect current employer position.
SPF 21	Administration & Communication Failure to communicate or engage with Pension Fund stakeholders	Can lead to non-compliance with legislation and best practice. Disengagement with the Fund leading to a fall in active members. Damage to the reputation of the Fund	Moderate (2)	Possible (2)	Medium (4)	*	Head of Pensions	Treat	Maintenance and implementation of the Communication strategy, which is subject to regular review. This was last reviewed in February 2021. The use of Member Self Service enables effective and cost efficient communications for all active, deferred and pensioner members that have signed up to it. Regular communications to employers are provided through the form of Pension Matters newsletters and the Annual Employer meetings. Pension Fund Committee and Pension Board papers are published within statutory deadlines.

									The Pension Fund website was updated in 2022 to enable a better user experience.
SPF 22	Administration & Communication Failure of Pensions administration IT systems	Pension Benefits are not paid on time. Failure to meet statutory requirements. Inability to deal with enquiries effectively. Reputational risk to Suffolk County Council and the Pension Fund.	Major (3)	Possible (2)	Medium (6)	\	Head of Pensions	Treat	Suffolk County Council has a disaster recovery plan in place which includes the key tasks within the Pension Fund. The Pension Fund administration and pensioner payroll system is hosted by its supplier, Heywoods. Systems are backed up nightly.
SPF 23	Administration & Communication Risk of a successful cyber attack.	The Fund suffers significant financial cost. Pension Benefits are not paid on time. Failure to meet statutory requirements.	Major (3)	Possible (2)	Medium (6)	*	Chief Financial Officer	Treat	The Pension Fund administration and pensioner payroll system is hosted by its supplier, Heywoods. Systems are backed up nightly. Mandatory training on preventing cyber-attack risks.

SPF 24	Administration &	Inability to deal with enquiries effectively. Unable to manage cashflow, contributions, capital calls or distributions. Reputational risk to Suffolk County Council and the Pension Fund.							Robust IT security systems in place to identify risks, evolving threats and prevention. Robust arrangements with the data processors of the Fund's member data.
	Communication Failure to implement and comply with LGPS benefit regulations	Could result in incorrect benefit calculations and members not getting the correct benefit that they are entitled to. Pension Benefits are not paid on time. Additional time and cost with the Pension administration team to correct.	Major (3)	Unlikely (1)	Low (3)	*	Head of Pensions	Treat	The Pensions administration team adheres to stringent procedures required to comply to the benefits regulations. Regular system updates by Heywood's to incorporate the change to benefit regulations Knowledge and understanding is kept up to date by attending the relevant training courses on offer by professional bodies.

		Loss of trust from members of the Fund.							All calculations are independently checked and verified. Sample testing is undertaken by internal and external audit.
SPF 25	Administration & Communication Failure to collect and account for full receipt of contributions and deficit payments received from employers.	Fund cannot meet its immediate liabilities because it has insufficient liquidity, leading to additional costs associated with borrowing to meet the cash flow requirements.	Moderate (2)	Unlikely (1)	Low (2)	*	Head of Pensions	Treat	The Administration Strategy sets out the employers' responsibilities and is reviewed at least every three years. It was last approved by the Pension Fund Committee at its meeting on 24 November 2021. Reconciliations are undertaken to reconcile the receipts from employers against the rate that they should be paying. Timeliness of receipts are monitored and reported. Non-compliance is addressed. Sample testing is undertaken by internal and external audit.

SPF 26	Administration & Communication Staff fraud /theft / negligence	Reputational risk to Suffolk County Council and the Pension Fund.	Moderate (2)	Unlikely (1)	Low (2)	*	Head of Pensions	Treat	Systems have security measures in place to reduce the risk. Administration staff cannot access their own records or records of relatives using their log in. Finance staff cannot authorise payments on the custodian system that they have entered using their log in. All financial transactions are independently checked and verified with further scrutiny undertaken when authorised. Internal and external audit undertake scrutiny and testing of the internal controls
SPF 27	Resource & Skills								arrangements.
327	Pension Fund Committee members do not have the appropriate skills or knowledge to discharge their responsibility.	Could lead to inappropriate decisions being made. Could increase the liability strain for the employer	Major (3)	Unlikely (1)	Low (3)	\	Pension Fund Committee	Treat	The Committee has adopted the CIPFA Pensions Knowledge and Skills Framework as the basis for assessing its training and development needs. Committee members are required to undertake the

		making the scheme unaffordable. Could lead to investment managers not permitting the Fund to retain its MiFID opt up as a professional client and the fund having to disinvest from investments that are not open to non-professional clients.							Hymans online training modules to demonstrate their understanding. The Committee approves a formal training plan which is designed to cover the Committee's responsibilities. This training is delivered by Pension Fund officers, investment consultants and subject matter experts. New Committee members and substitutes receive appropriate training before attending a committee meeting and are fully briefed by a Pension Fund officer to enable them to participate. External advisors are employed to advise the Pension Fund
									to advise the Pension Fund Committee.
SPF 28	Resource & Skill Pension Fund officers do not have the appropriate skills or knowledge to complete statutory duties or advise the Pension Fund appropriately.	Could lead to inappropriate decisions being made. Could increase the liability strain for the employer	Major (3)	Unlikely (1)	Low (3)	\	Head of Pensions	Treat	Pension Fund officers attend seminars, conferences, training and webinars laid on by the professional bodies involved with the LGPS. Staff are recruited with the necessary skills to undertake the

		making the scheme unaffordable. Reputational risk to Suffolk County Council and the Pension Fund.							relevant duties assigned to them. Training and development needs are identified through the personal development review (PDR) process.
SPF 29	Resource & Skill Pension Fund does not have appropriate staffing resources to carry out all the pension functions and is open to key man risk.	Could lead to key work deliverables not being met. Could lead to a back log of work without an SLA but still requires completion. Key staff leaving due to inappropriate workloads leading to a lack of continuity and transfer of knowledge.	Major (3)	Possible (2)	Medium (6)	*	Head of Pensions	Treat	Future new regulations are evaluated and additional resource requirements are identified ahead of time. Processes are documented to assist continuity of process. Regular one- to-one discussions with manager should be used to highlight workload issues. Completion statistics on administration tasks with SLA's and other administrative tasks are regularly reported.
SPF 30	Reputational Conflicts of interest between the County Council and the Pension Fund	Advice and decisions may be taken in the best interest of the Council or the Fund which may differ.	Major (3)	Unlikely (1)	Low (3)	↔	Chief Financial Officer	Treat	The Council constitution sets out the roles and responsibilities of all entities. The conflict of interest policy sets out the code of conduct and

		Employers cannot differentiate between the Council and the Pension Fund					Pension Fund Committee		recognition of potential conflicts of interest for officers and Committee members and how they should be managed. This was last updated in June 2020.
SPF 31	Reputational The Pension Fund does not proficiently administrate the Fund.	Incorrect information is reported and used to make decisions. Members records are not up to date which could cause transfers or benefits to be paid incorrectly. Loss of credibility amongst external bodies and peers.	Major (3)	Unlikely (1)	Low (3)	*	Chief Financial Officer Pension Fund Committee Head of Pension	Treat	The Pension Fund annually approves a Business Plan that identifies the key developments to be achieved. Progress and completion of each key tasks is reported. Feedback is sought from Professional advisers. Costs are annually benchmarked with similarly sized funds.
SPF 32	Reputational Failure by the Pension Fund to manage Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) risk within the investment strategy	Investments have poor ESG compliance leading to adverse publicity and financial loss in asset value.	Major (3)	Probable (3)	High (9)	\(\)	Pension Fund Committee	Treat	Regular meetings with investment managers to discuss investment performance, investment strategy and engagement activities. Diversification of asset classes and investment manager

and	Risk to income yield			structure minimises the impact
implementation of	by restricting the			of a single stock
investment	market due to ESG			underperforming.
decisions.	concerns without			
	considering the			Regular reporting of ESG
	wider picture on the			implementation by investment
	investment strategy.			managers and voting at
				shareholder meetings on behalf
	Risk to investment			of the Pension Fund.
	managers capacity			
	to implement the			Investment Managers are
	investment strategy			required to demonstrate how
	by restricting			they incorporate ESG into their
	investments.			investment strategy.
	Risk to wider ESG			
	issues by focusing			
	on a single issue.			